

Record of Environmental Consideration – Supplemental Notes

Name of Project	Proposed Disposal of Real Estate
Project Site	Lot G-04, 11.57 acres at USPS Training Center, 24 th Ave., SE @ E. Imhoff Rd., Norman, OK 73071
REC Date <i>(and Supplemental Notes date)</i>	11/2/15
Name of Project Manager or Preparer <i>(and Supplemental Notes preparer)</i>	Charlotte Parrish, Facilities Environmental Spec., Field and HQ Support

The United States Postal Service (USPS) finds that CATEX (e)(8), as referenced in USPS, National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures, 79 Fed. Reg. 2102 (Jan. 13, 2014) and 39 C.F.R. 775.6(e)(8) (2014), applies to this Project. As a result, further review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), such as the preparation of an Environmental Assessment, is not required for this Project.

According to the Facilities Environmental Checklist (FEC) prepared by Tetra Tech and dated 10/21/15, there are no environmental impacts associated with the proposed Project.

The following description of the Project Site is from Tetra Tech’s October 27, 2015 disposal report prepared for USPS:

‘Adjacent Properties

The Site is bordered to the north by a residential subdivision; to the northeast by an equipment storage warehouse associated with the Postal Training Center; to the east by the Postal Training Center; to the south by undeveloped land, with an equipment rental store, gas station, natural gas lines, and Highway 9 beyond; and to the west by 24th Avenue, with storage units beyond. Surrounding land uses are generally mixed residential and commercial.

[...] The Site is zoned Medium Density Apartment (RM-6).’

The uses allowable by the zoning are sufficiently similar to the uses of the Project Site (currently vacant) and uses on surrounding properties such that this Project will not have significant effects on the human environment. A prospective site buyer would be required to comply with local land use and zoning requirements for any site development.

Because USPS expects that reasonably foreseeable uses of the Project Site would be similar to current surrounding uses, and because no extraordinary circumstances are present, CATEX (e)(8) is applicable. Accordingly, further NEPA analysis is not required.